

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra Vigunia 22313-1450 www.upto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
08/713,905	09/13/1996	FRANK RICHTER	MO-4532/LEA	2755
75	590 08/11/2003			29
PATENT DEPARTMENT			EXAMINER	
BAYER CORP	ORATION			
100 BAYER R	OAD			
PITTSBURGH	, PA 152059741		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			ARTONII	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 08/11/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notification of Non-Compliance With 37 CFR 1.192(c)

		ħ/
Application No.	Applicant(s)	1
08/713,905	RICHTER ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Rabon Sergent	1711	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

The Appeal Brief filed on 29 May 2003 is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 1.192(c). See MPEP § 1206

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file IN TRIPLICATE a complete new brief in compliance with 37 CFR 1.192 (c) within the longest of any of the following three TIME PERIODS: (1)ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer; (2) TWO MONTHS from the date of the notice of appeal; or (3) within the period for reply to the action from which this appeal was taken. EXTENTIONS OF THESE TIME PERIODS MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.

1.		The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 1.192(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.
2.		The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, pending or cancelled, or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 1.192(c)(3)).
3.		At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 1.192(c)(4)).
4.		The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the claimed invention, referring to the specification by pag and line number and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 1.192(c)(5)).
5.		The brief does not contain a concise statement of the issues presented for review (37 CFR 1.192(c)(6)).
6.		A single ground of rejection has been applied to two or more claims in this application, and
	(a)	the brief omits the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet presents arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
	(b)	the brief includes the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c) (7) that one or more claims do not stand or for together, yet does not present arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
7.		The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each issue on appeal (37 CFR 1.192(c)(8)
8.	\odot	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 1.192(c)(9))
9.	\square	Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):
		With respect to item 8, appellants have failed to provide a correct copy of the appealed claims. Specifically, within line 1 o claim 1, appellants have deleted the parentheses around "poly" within polyisocyanate, causing the claim to exclude monoisocyanates, and within line 1 of claim 3, "polyisocyanate" has been recited instead of "isocyanate".

Rabon Sergent Primary Examiner

Art Unit: 1711